## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRIC COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

CARMEN FLORES, individually and on	(	
behalf of all others similarly situated,	(	
	(	
Plaintiff,	(	
	(	Case No. 07 C 6403
v.	(	Judge Hibbler
	(	Magistrate Judge Valdez
DIAMOND BANK,	(	
	(	
Defendant.	(	

## DEFENDANT DIAMOND BANK'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Defendant DIAMOND BANK, by and through its attorneys, Belongia & Shapiro, LLP, moves this Court for the entry of an order granting a twenty-one (21) day enlargement of time, up through and including February 5, 2008, for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Class Action Complaint, and in support thereof states:

- 1. The Diamond Bank's (hereinafter referred to as the "Bank") response to Plaintiff's First Amended Class Action Complaint (hereinafter referred to as the "Amended Complaint") is due on January 15, 2008.
- 2. The Bank is seeking to support its factual assertions made in its response with the affidavit of a third-party (hereinafter referred to as "affiant").
- 3. The Bank's counsel first communicated with the affiant last Monday, January 7, 2008. During that conversation, the affiant indicated to the Bank's counsel that he would execute an affidavit for inclusion with the Bank's response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

Filed 01/15/2008 Page 2 of 3

The affiant also indicated to the Bank's counsel that he would be 4.

represented by his own counsel, and that the affiant's counsel would work with the

Bank's counsel in preparing the affidavit.

5. Since the January 7, 2008 conversation with the affiant, the Bank's

counsel has not been able to communicate with the affiant. The affiant has failed to

return any phone calls from the Bank's counsel, and has failed to put his indicated

counsel in contact with the Bank's counsel.

Counsel for the Bank contacted counsel for Plaintiff and is authorized to

represent that Plaintiff has no objection to this motion for enlargement of time.

7. Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by granting the Bank's Motion for

Enlargement of Time to Respond to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant DIAMOND BANK respectfully requests that this

Court enter an order granting additional time to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended

Complaint, up to and including February 5, 2008.

Respectfully Submitted,

DEFENDANT

/s/ Nathaniel R. Sinn

One of Defendant's Attorneys

Dated: January 15, 2008

Mark D. Belongia, Atty # 6269391

Nathaniel R. Sinn, Atty # 6284004

Belongia & Shapiro, LLP

53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 315

Chicago, Illinois 60604

P: 312.662.1030

F: 312.662.1040

2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRIC COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

1 ( ( (		
Case No. 07 C 6403  Judge Hibbler  Magistrate Judge Valdez		
NLARGEMENT OF TIME		
THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Defendant DIAMOND BANK'S Unopposed		
Motion for an Enlargement of Time to Respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Class		
Action Complaint. The Motion is hereby granted, and Diamond Bank shall have until		
February 5, 2008 to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.		
DONE AND ORDERED in chambers at Chicago, Illinois this day of		
Honorable William J. Hibbler District Judge		

Prepared By: Nathaniel R. Sinn, Atty # 6284004 Belongia & Shapiro, LLP 53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 315 Chicago, Illinois 60604

Mark D. Belongia